

GAJ

From: "GAJ" [REDACTED]
To: "Russ Moulton" [REDACTED]
Sent: Saturday, September 09, 2006 8:39 AM
Subject: Re: Commonwealth vs. Jerry Hill

Hi Russ,

[REDACTED]

Finally, of course Hill and the School Board will claim that he was exonerated so he is entitled to a raise, etc. Yes, the taxpayers paid for all his legal bills. Yes, he is emboldened by this and he is already trying to exert his new power.

He will get away with this and continue to "extort money and tax hikes out of the BOS in the name of the children" unless and until the citizens rise up and demand accountability. Where are they?

gaj

— Original Message —

From: Russ Moulton
To: 'GAJ'
Sent: Friday, September 08, 2006 4:29 PM
Subject: RE: Commonwealth vs. Jerry Hill

Gary,

Thanks for this. I haven't opened and looked at the documents yet, but here's a bit of my perspective:

[REDACTED]

- 3 So now on to Jerry Hill, who for years has used his office and taxpayer funds to produce and distribute blatantly biased political material: (1) sending our kids home with two-page flyers attacking the BOS and General Assembly for not spending enough on education and (2) full color glossy 8-page mailers with the same distorted message (production and mail costs by a developer, but he produced them with county school board time/resources). Nothing happens to him for this egregious mis-use of office.
- 4 Hill goes on to commit this latest egregious mis-use of office on the ballot referendum, and in the end, he is essentially exonerated. I believe Hill will now be emboldened to continue. So Rothfeld and Dunn, not

deserving of their prosecutions, suffer for it terribly. Hill, who needed a lesson in abuse of office, gets off totally. To my knowledge, his attorney fees were taxpayer-born?? BTW, there was General Assembly legislation that passed in 2000, sponsored by now-State Senator Jay O'Brien, designed to prohibit what Hill did by directing School Board to adopt policies against ballot advocacy – it's ashame that either Britton didn't do his job in fairly pursuing this, or that the law was too weakly-written.



Thanks for letting me vent.

Warmest regards,

Russ

 Russ Moulton, Jr., JRM Technologies, Inc.
 Corporate: [REDACTED]
 Administrative: [REDACTED]
 Mobile: [REDACTED]
 Fax: [REDACTED]
 Web: www.jrmtech.com

From: GAJ [REDACTED]
Sent: Friday, September 08, 2006 3:37 PM
To: Undisclosed-Recipient;;
Cc: Ray Lora
Subject: Commonwealth vs. Jerry Hill

Attached for consideration are three documents involving Jerry Hill and the Spotsylvania School Board:

1. Commonwealth Attorney Matt Britton's Prosecutor's Memo in re Commonwealth of Virginia vs. Jerry Hill;
2. Jerry Hill's August 14, 2006, Employment Contract renewal (approved unanimously 7-0); and
3. Spotsylvania County School Board Code of Ethics.

These documents speak for themselves. Indeed, they must since the local press seems uninterested.

It is worth noting that the School Board members knew the details of Britton's official investigation and legal findings when they voted to increase Hill's salary and extend the term of his employment. It also appears that the School Board's Code of Ethics was inconsequential.

It remains to be seen how the good citizens of Spotsylvania will view the School Board's perverse sense of accountability in this episode.

gaj